

**A HOME-BASED SUPPORT ENVIRONMENT FOR CEREBRAL PALSY  
TREATMENT**

**A THESIS SUBMITTED TO  
THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF NATURAL AND APPLIED SCIENCES**

**OF  
ATILIM UNIVERSITY**

**BY  
CEYLAN DALGIÇ**

**IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE  
DEGREE OF**

**MASTER OF SCIENCE**

**IN  
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICE MANAGEMENT**

**APRIL 2014**

Approval of the Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Atılım University.

---

Prof. Dr. İbrahim Akman

Director

I certify that this thesis satisfies all the requirements as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science.

---

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Murat Koyuncu

Head of Department

This is to certify that we have read the thesis “A Home-Based Support Environment for Cerebral Palsy Treatment” submitted by Ceylan Dalgıç and that in our opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science.

---

Asst. Prof. Dr. Nergiz E. Çağltay

Advisor

Examining Committee Members:

Asst. Prof. Dr. Nergiz E. Çağltay

---

Prof. Dr. Mintaze K. Günel

---

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Murat Koyuncu

---

Asst. Prof. Dr. Gökhan Şengül

---

Asst. Prof. Dr. Erol Özçelik

---

Date: 18.04.2014

I acknowledge and guarantee that all data, knowledge and information in this document has been obtained, processed and presented in accordance with academic rules and ethical conduct. Based on these rules and conduct, I have fully cited and referenced all material and results that are not original to this work.

Name, Last name: Ceylan Dalgıç

Signature:

## ABSTRACT

### A HOME-BASED SUPPORT ENVIRONMENT FOR CEREBRAL PALSY TREATMENT

Dalgıç, Ceylan

M.S, Information Technology Service Management

Advisor: Assistant Prof. Dr. Nergiz Ercil Çağiltay

April 2014, 55 pages

The physiotherapy conducted for the treatment of children with Cerebral Palsy is a difficult process for the patients, parents and physiotherapists because children may not understand the necessity of the treatment and may be unwilling to exercise because of boredom from repetitive therapy sessions, it requires to allocate time in hospital, it requires home exercises to be more effective, it is costly and requires the physiotherapist's steady control. In literature, there are several studies on the systems that support physiotherapy intended for improving motivation of children. On the other hand, usage of these systems is limited in Turkey, where higher rate of children with cerebral palsy births occur in comparison to most of the developed countries. Furthermore, most of the systems have been developed for hospital use and are unaffordable for low income families. In this study a low-cost system based on virtual reality technology is proposed that provides children with hemiparetic cerebral palsy to exercise in their home without the insistence of their parents and entertainingly. Based on this system, feasibility of similar systems that can be developed is discussed.

***Keywords—cerebral palsy; upper extremity; hemiparesis; virtual reality; home exercises; motivation***

## ÖZ

### SEREBRAL PALSİ TEDAVİSİ İÇİN EVDE KURULACAK DÜŞÜK MALİYETLİ DESTEK ORTAMI

Dalgıç, Ceylan

Yüksek Lisans, Bilgi Teknolojileri Hizmet Yönetimi

Tez Yöneticisi: Yrd. Doç. Dr. Nergiz Ercil Çağıltay

Nisan 2014, 55 sayfa

Serebral palsili çocukların tedavisi için yürütülen fizyoterapi, çocuğun tedavinin gerekliliğini idrak edememesi ve tekrar eden terapi seanslarından sıkılması sonucunda egzersizleri yapmakta isteksiz olması, seansların hastanede vakit ayırmayı gerektirmesi, daha etkin bir tedavi için evde egzersiz yapmayı gerektirmesi, maliyetli olması, fizyoterapistin sürekli takibini gerektirmesi gibi sebeplerle hasta, aile ve fizyoterapist için zorluklar taşıyan bir süreçtir. Literatürde egzersizleri bilgisayar ortamında oyunlaştırarak serebral palsili çocukların motivasyonunu artırmaya yönelik, fizyoterapiyi destekleyici sistemler üzerine çok sayıda çalışma yer almaktadır. Buna karşın, gelişmiş ülkelerin birçoğuna kıyasla yüksek oranda serebral palsili çocuk doğumu gerçekleşen Türkiye’de bu sistemlerin kullanımı limitlidir. Dahası, bunların birçoğu hastane kullanımı için geliştirilen ve fakir aileler için yüksek gelebilecek maliyetlerle satın alınabilen sistemlerdir. Bu çalışmada, hemiparetik serebral palsili çocukların ebeveylelerinin ısrarını gerektirmeden evde eğlenerek üst ekstremitte egzersizi yapmalarını sağlayacak, sanal gerçeklik teknolojisine dayalı, düşük maliyetli bir sistem önerilmekte olup; bu sistem üzerinden yola çıkarak, oluşturulabilecek benzer sistemlerin fizibilitesi ve etkinliği üzerinde tartışılmaktadır.

***Anahtar Kelimeler— serebral palsi; üst ekstremitte; hemiparezi; sanal gerçeklik; ev egzersizleri; motivasyon***

To My Parents

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my advisor Asst. Prof. Dr. Nergiz ERCİL ÇAĞILTAY, for her knowledge and her continuous guidance, support and patience during my thesis study.

I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Mintaze KEREM GÜNEL, Physiotherapy Expert Özgün KAYA KARA and Assist. Prof. Dr. Gül TOKDEMİR for their valuable guidance and contribution. I would also like to thank Bilge Nur YARDIMCI for her help during evaluation of the patients. I sincerely thank the patients and the parents who participated in this study.

I would also express my appreciation to the examination committee members; Assoc. Prof. Dr. Murat KOYUNCU, Asst. Prof. Dr. Erol ÖZÇELİK and Asst. Prof. Dr. Gökhan ŞENGÜL for their valuable time, comments and suggestions.

My closest friend Emre AYDIN's continuous support was the greatest motivation for me during this study. I offer sincere thanks to him. Thanks for always being there beside me.

I also would like to thank my cousin Gül Ece YÖRÜTKEN and my friends Esmâ İPEKOĞLU, Özge AYTÜRK and Damla TOPALLI for their precious friendship and support during my study.

Last but not least; I would like to express my appreciation to my parents for their endless love, support and patience through the study and all my life.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| ABSTRACT .....   | iii |
| ÖZ .....   | iv  |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....                                     | vi  |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS .....                                    | vii |
| LIST OF TABLES .....                                       | ix  |
| LIST OF FIGURES .....                                      | x   |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....                                | xi  |
| <b>CHAPTER 1</b> .....                                     | 1   |
| <b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....                                  | 1   |
| 1.1 Motivation and Problem Statement .....                 | 1   |
| 1.2 Purpose of the Study .....                             | 2   |
| 1.3 Significance of the Study .....                        | 2   |
| 1.4 Limitations .....                                      | 4   |
| 1.5 Thesis Organization .....                              | 4   |
| <b>CHAPTER 2</b> .....                                     | 5   |
| <b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....                             | 5   |
| 2.1 Cerebral Palsy .....                                   | 5   |
| 2.1.1 About Cerebral Palsy and Hemiparesis.....            | 5   |
| 2.1.2 Hemiparesis Treatment.....                           | 5   |
| 2.1.3 Therapy from Children’s Point of View.....           | 6   |
| 2.2 Virtual Reality .....                                  | 6   |
| 2.2.1 About Virtual Reality .....                          | 7   |
| 2.2.2 Using Virtual Reality Systems as Therapy Tools ..... | 7   |
| 2.2.3 Existing Virtual Reality Systems .....               | 7   |
| 2.3 Summary of the Literature .....                        | 9   |
| <b>CHAPTER 3</b> .....                                     | 11  |
| <b>METHODOLOGY</b> .....                                   | 11  |
| 3.1 System Development .....                               | 11  |
| 3.2 Research Study .....                                   | 12  |
| <b>CHAPTER 4</b> .....                                     | 17  |
| <b>SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT</b> .....                            | 17  |
| 4.1 Requirements Collection Phase.....                     | 17  |



|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| 4.2 Game Design and Approval of Domain Experts.....   | 17        |
| 4.3 Game Development .....  | 19        |
| <b>CHAPTER 5</b> .....  | <b>27</b> |
| <b>RESEARCH STUDY RESULTS</b> .....   | <b>27</b> |
| 5.1 Does the proposed home-based therapy game system motivate patients with CP to perform exercises at home? .....                              | 27        |
| 5.2 Does the proposed home-based therapy game system support families who have children with CP for helping their child exercise at home? ..... | 30        |
| 5.3 Does the proposed system support physiotherapists for following up children’s home exercises who are having CP treatment?.....              | 31        |
| 5.4 Does the proposed system affect progress of the patients with CP positively during the therapy treatment? .....                             | 32        |
| <b>CHAPTER 6</b> .....  | <b>34</b> |
| <b>CONCLUSION &amp; DISCUSSIONS</b> .....   | <b>34</b> |
| <b>REFERENCES</b> .....   | <b>38</b> |
| APPENDIX A: SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEW QUESTIONS FOR PATIENTS .....  | 41        |
| APPENDIX B: SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEW QUESTIONS FOR PATIENTS IN NATIVE LANGUAGE (TURKISH) .....   | 42        |
| APPENDIX C: SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEW QUESTIONS FOR PARENTS .....   | 43        |
| APPENDIX D: SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEW QUESTIONS FOR PARENTS IN NATIVE LANGUAGE (TURKISH) .....  | 44        |
| APPENDIX E: SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEW QUESTIONS FOR THERAPISTS .....  | 45        |
| APPENDIX F: SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEW QUESTIONS FOR THERAPISTS IN NATIVE LANGUAGE (TURKISH).....  | 46        |
| APPENDIX G: SEMI - STRUCTURED INTERVIEW.....  | 47        |
| OF PATIENT 1 .....  | 47        |
| APPENDIX H: SEMI - STRUCTURED INTERVIEW.....  | 50        |
| OF PARENT 1.....  | 50        |
| APPENDIX I: SEMI - STRUCTURED INTERVIEW .....   | 51        |
| OF PATIENT 2 .....  | 51        |
| APPENDIX J: SEMI - STRUCTURED INTERVIEW .....   | 53        |
| OF PARENT 2.....  | 53        |
| APPENDIX K: SEMI - STRUCTURED INTERVIEW.....  | 55        |
| OF PHYSIOTHERAPIST FOR PATIENT 1 AND PATIENT 2 .....  | 55        |

## LIST OF TABLES

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 3.1 Participants of the Study.....                         | 15 |
| Table 5.1 List of the Interviews.....                            | 27 |
| Table 5.2 QUEST Pre-test and Post-Test Values of Patient 1 ..... | 32 |
| Table 5.3 QUEST Pre-test and Post-Test Values of Patient 1 ..... | 32 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Figure 2.1 A boxing game on Wii system.....                                  | 8  |
| Figure 2.2 Birds and Balls Game.....   | 9  |
| Figure 3.1 Study Method.....   | 11 |
| Figure 3.2 System Development Approach for the Study .....                   | 12 |
| Figure 3.3. Research Study Model .....                                       | 13 |
| Figure 3.4. Relationship between Research Questions and Collected Data ..... | 14 |
| Figure 4.1 Pilot Study of AHSEN-CP .....                                     | 18 |
| Figure 4.2 Overview of the Proposed System.....                              | 18 |
| Figure 4.3 Activity Diagram of AHSEN-CP.....                                 | 19 |
| Figure 4.4 Use Case Diagram of AHSEN-CP.....                                 | 19 |
| Figure 4.5 Form in AHSEN-CP .....  | 21 |
| Figure 4.6 The Red Line .....  | 21 |
| Figure 4.7 First Level of AHSEN-CP Games .....                               | 22 |
| Figure 4.8 Second Level of AHSEN-CP Games.....                               | 23 |
| Figure 4.9 Third Level of AHSEN-CP Games.....                                | 24 |
| Figure 4.10 Fourth Level of AHSEN-CP Games .....                             | 25 |
| Figure 4.11 Sample Report.....   | 26 |
| Figure 5.1 Patient 1 While Playing “Shooting the Basket” Game .....          | 28 |
| Figure 5.2 Patient 1 While Playing “Bouncing the Ball” Game .....            | 29 |
| Figure 6.1. System Development Steps .....                                   | 34 |

## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

**CD:** Compact Disc

**CP:** Cerebral Palsy

**PC:** Personal Computer

**RQ:** Research Question

**Web Cam:** Web Camera

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

In this chapter the problems encountered during the treatment process of cerebral palsy (CP) which derive the motivation of the study are discussed. Additionally, purpose of the study, significance of the study and the limitations are all discussed in the following sections.

### 1.1 Motivation and Problem Statement

In the literature it is reported that regularity is essential in cerebral palsy treatment to learn motor skills and improve the performance [9]. Studies show that, after performing the required exercises repetitively and regularly, some improvements on motor skills and performance of the patients that receive physiotherapy were measured [6] [7] [14] [25]. Since participating in therapy sessions under the supervision of a physiotherapist is not always possible, home exercise programs are supportive in physiotherapy for creating a regular treatment process. In case of physiotherapy of children, since they may not be aware to the necessity of exercising, they may usually be unwilling to perform repetitive exercises and get bored. Studies show that game-like computer environments specifically developed for the treatment for specific purposes are one of the tools that can motivate children to exercise and support the therapy providing several benefits for physiotherapy [6] [16] [18] [20]. However, most of these systems were developed only for hospitals or treatment centers and are not suitable for exercising at home. Moreover, accessibility to these systems is very limited in Turkey, especially for the centers and families in poverty areas. Accordingly, this study mainly focuses on supporting physiotherapy process of children with CP living in poverty in Turkey. In order to reach this aim, an Affordable Home-based Support Environment for Cerebral Palsy (AHSEN-CP) was developed according to the specific requirements of the target user group with CP.

## **1.2 Purpose of the Study**

The main purpose of this study is to design and develop a game-base therapy support system for home use that is affordable for families who have children with CP and provides a detailed report for tracking the progress of the child after each game play. Hence, it is aimed to support the treatment process of children with CP by providing an exercise environment that can be used anytime and from anywhere. This support is investigated in three categories: support for patients, families and physiotherapists.

## **1.3 Significance of the Study**

In comparison with most of the developed countries, there is a higher number of patients with CP in Turkey [9]. In spite of that situation, in Turkey there are very limited number of studies and practices on virtual reality games for children with CP. This study is one of the initiator studies on this topic in Turkey. While performing a demonstration of the game in Hacettepe University, Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation Department, a physiotherapist stated that she was happy to see a virtual rehabilitation game in Turkey similar to the ones she saw in a conference organized in a foreign country. Also, she was pleased with easy-to-use interface of the system that does not require any sensors and other apparatus to wear.

Most of the existing virtual rehabilitation systems described in the literature [12] [19] [22] [23] [24] are not easily affordable. Accordingly, the AHSEN-CP aims to provide cheaper solutions to support people having very limited access or no chance to access to such systems. In other words, physiotherapists can provide this game environment (AHSEN-CP) to their patients living in rural areas as well.

AHSEN-CP consists of an easy-to-use menu by which the parents or even the children can customize the game according to the physiotherapist's suggestions. After each game play, patient information, patient's score and the values entered through the game menu such as game speed, game duration and size of the game objects are sent to the physiotherapist's e-mail address. Based on this information, the physiotherapist can analyze the patient's progress during the game play and suggest different customization parameter values for improving the progress of the

patient for the next game play sessions. Hence, unlike most of the existing systems, the proposed approach provides a customizable in-home usage.

Children who have to take a long-term physiotherapy treatment may get bored of repeating home exercises and be unwilling to exercise. Although adults are supposed to be more conscious than children, a study shows that even most of the adults don't exercise regularly [13]. The main reason behind using virtual reality concept in this study is to increase willingness and excitement for performing exercises at home. Accordingly, one of the research questions in this study is "Does the proposed home-based therapy game system motivate patients with CP to perform exercises at home?"

The parents are required to follow up their children and participate in the treatment when needed [9]. Since children may not be aware to the necessity of doing exercises at home, they may refuse it. They may also not do the exercises properly, which may result in decline instead of improvement in movement skills and posture. Hence, it is the parents' responsibility to direct children for performing the exercises properly. It is sometimes difficult to convince the child for doing the exercises. It is also difficult for parents to allocate time at home for these exercises when they have other responsibilities. In addition to these problems, therapy costs are a burden for the parents, especially for those living in poverty. Hence, a child's therapy is a difficult process for the parents as well as for the children. In order to improve the progress of the therapy treatments, the level of support for the parents is also an important issue. For this reason, this study aims to support families who have children with CP. Accordingly, the second research question of this study is "Does the proposed home-based therapy game system support families who have children with CP for helping their child exercise at home?"

In addition, physiotherapists have to follow up several patients and it is sometimes difficult for them to track detailed information about each patient to see whether they are performing the exercises at home properly. Hence, supporting physiotherapists to track each patient is another important consideration of this process. Accordingly, another research question of this study is "Does the proposed system support

physiotherapists for following children’s home exercises who are having CP treatment?”

#### **1.4 Limitations**

While developing such a supporting environment, the proposed system also concerns about the improvement of upper extremity skills of the patients. The proposed home-based therapy system does not consist of any sensors or 3D cameras. For this reason, it cannot recognize and track specific parts of the body. The patient’s arm cannot be recognized automatically by the game so the game was implemented the way that it forces the patient to use her/his arm to reach objects in the game. One of our study’s focuses is whether or not the basic system we proposed is feasible for supporting routine upper extremity treatment and provides improvement in patient’s affected skills.

This study is conducted with two patients from Hacettepe University, Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation Department. Small number of patients is a limitation for doing a scientific data evaluation.

If the games are not played properly, for example if the patient stays too close to the web cam, or one of the other family members play the games instead of/with the patient before sending the report to the physiotherapist, the reports may mislead the physiotherapist.

#### **1.5 Thesis Organization**

This thesis is organized as follows: Chapter 2 contains the background of this study; Chapter 3 describes the research methodology used in the study and Chapter 4 details the system development phase. In Chapter 5, collected data are evaluated and finally conclusion and discussion part of the study is given in Chapter 6.



## **CHAPTER 2**

### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

In this chapter, firstly, some brief information about CP and the treatment methods for children with CP are presented. Then, the virtual reality concept and the advantages/limitations/disadvantages of using virtual reality systems as therapy tools as opposed to using the conventional therapy methods are explained and discussed. Finally, an overall picture of the literature is given.

#### **2.1 Cerebral Palsy**

In this part, general information about CP, hemiparetic CP and CP treatment are given. The children's perspective to the CP therapy process is discussed.

##### **2.1.1 About Cerebral Palsy and Hemiparesis**

CP is described as permanent but non-progressive neuromuscular, musculoskeletal and sensory system impairments that occur after the immature brain gets affected by various factors [1]. Although such ailments are not progressive, the inabilities that they cause may progress [9].

There are a few classifications of CP. One of these classifications is made according to the extremity it affects. This study focuses on hemiparetic CP which means only one side (right or left) of the patient's lower and upper extremities is affected. In most cases of hemiparesis, the upper extremity is more affected than the lower extremity [1].

##### **2.1.2 Hemiparesis Treatment**

There are various CP treatment approaches which will be chosen by the physiotherapist depending on the cerebral palsy type that the patient has [1]. Since

this study proposes a system that can be used only for children with hemiparesis, this section focuses on their physiotherapy.

The muscular tone of the affected side of children with hemiparesis shows serious increase in time [1]. Also, because of preferring to use the non-affected side during pediatric development, an asymmetry appears on children's body and extremities [1]. The main goals of hemiparesis treatment are to improve functionality of the affected side and attaining symmetry on posture and movements [1]. To improve the functionality and the proper usage of extremities, primarily muscle tone of proximal zone and pelvis zone muscles should be regulated and dynamism and stabilization of these zones should be improved [1]. Since the treatment changes depending on the circumstance of each patient [9], it is important for the physiotherapists to choose best-fitting practices.

### **2.1.3 Therapy from Children's Point of View**

Children with CP may not be able to join their friends while playing some of the games since they cannot handle the games which require specific skills like speed and balance. They also have less time to play since they should attend the therapy sessions in therapy centers. Because of these reasons, children with CP need to play more. Santos and Ferreira (2013) indicates that children with chronic diseases who receive physiotherapy want to play more during therapy sessions [4]. As a result, to improve the willingness of children for performing exercises, game-like exercises can be used as the studies show that virtual rehabilitation games are more entertaining than the routine exercises in the patients' opinion [6] [15].

## **2.2 Virtual Reality**

At the beginning of this section, the Virtual Reality (VR) technology is basically introduced. Then it is discussed in terms of its usage for developing therapy systems, and feasibilities of the existing systems are evaluated. In the last part, the results obtained from the literature review are presented.

### **2.2.1 About Virtual Reality**

Burdea and Coiffet (2003) define virtual reality (VR) as *“It is a simulation in which computer graphics is used to create a realistic-looking world. Moreover, the synthetic world is not static, but responds to the user's input (gesture, verbal command, etc.). This defines a key feature of virtual reality, which is real-time interactivity.”*[2].

### **2.2.2 Using Virtual Reality Systems as Therapy Tools**

Repetition of the activities and patient's motivation are two important aspects for improving motor skills [1] [7]. Hence, especially for children, playing games that involves repetitive physical exercises is a helpful and fun treatment alternative. VR games can be a good alternative for physiotherapy in terms of allowing repetition of the same task [10]. VR games motivate patients to exercise [15]. Bryanton et al., 2006 reported that patients with CP had fun while doing VR game exercises more than they were doing routine exercises [6]. Since the players can see themselves at the computer screen while interacting with the objects in VR games, VR adds realism to the games. By this way, patients engage in the game and perform the tasks in the game voluntarily [10]. Using VR games in therapy is also a good way to record and analyze patients' performance for physiotherapists to follow up their patients easily [10]. If proper tools such as data gloves or sensors are used, it is possible to make precise measurements of patients' movements. Since VR technology can also give the opportunity of creating low-cost environments which can be distributed [10], VR games can also be used for providing home exercises to patients. Besides all these strengths, creating VR systems for rehabilitation is a complex and serious process which requires both engineering and medical knowledge [10].

### **2.2.3 Existing Virtual Reality Systems**

There are some commercial VR game systems or tools developed for non-medical purposes like Nintendo Wii and Sony Eye Toy which is a web cam to attach to Playstation2 gaming console that is not affordable for poor families. Games in these systems cannot be adapted according to the specific physiotherapy requirements of each patient. Since children with CP may not handle the speed and difficulty of the

game, they may become demotivated for exercising. Also, families living in poverty may not afford these systems for home-use. A boxing game on Wii system is shown in Figure 2.1.



**Figure 2.1 A boxing game on Wii system [22]**

SeeMe is a commercial virtual rehabilitation software which can be used with a PC and a web cam [27]. Since it does not require any apparatus to wear, it is convenient to use. Real-time adaptation of the games by the physiotherapist based on the patient's performance is possible, on the other hand it requires the physiotherapist's participation in the game session to use this option. In addition, this system can be purchased at a very high cost.

Mandala® Gesture Xtreme IREX is another example of commercial systems. It requires a special camera at a high cost. "Birds and Balls" game which can be played by Mandala® Gesture Xtreme IREX system is shown in Figure 2.2 [12].



**Figure 2.2 Birds and Balls Game [12]**

Most of the non-commercial rehabilitation aimed systems that are developed by researchers require apparatus such as robotic arm, sensors and data gloves [19] [23] [25] [26]. These tools may be inconvenient to use at home. Furthermore, these systems are still not affordable for poor families although they are relatively inexpensive. There are only a few examples of the systems developed for rehabilitation purposes which are used without such expensive tools.

VirHab is an adaptable system which is affordable even by poor families. However, it requires blue background and to wear white robe and black vest in order to be able to track movements of patients. Besides, it does not create any performance report after the game [24].

### **2.3 Summary of the Literature**


When the literature is reviewed, one can see several studies on rehabilitation using virtual reality systems because they are fun to use, they can provide performance reporting and a realistic game environment which engages patients in the game, thus

helps them forget that they are exercising. On the other hand, only a few of these systems are both suitable for home use and can be afforded by poor families. Hence, there is a need for an affordable and adaptable therapy system which can be used at home without the surveillance of a physiotherapist.

## CHAPTER 3

### METHODOLOGY


In this study Action Research Methodology has been utilized. Action research is the methodology which consists of defining the problem, collecting data and evaluating data to determine the required action to solve the problem, and taking action [21]. As it is clear in Figure 3.1, the study was conducted in two steps. Firstly, AHSEN-CP was designed and developed according to the specific requirements of the domain. Afterwards, the research study was conducted. In this chapter the details of each step of this study are described.



**Figure 3.1 Study Method**

#### 3.1 System Development

As it is clear in Figure 3.2, the system development phase of the study follows several steps namely requirements collection, game design, pilot study, domain expert approval on design, and game development. During the requirements collection phase, several interview sessions have been conducted with the domain experts to better understand the specific requirements of the system.



**Figure 3.2 System Development Approach for the Study**

After the requirements collection and analyses phase, the game was designed. By having domain expert approval on the game design, the game was developed. Then the pilot study phase was started. The detailed information about system development is given in Chapter 4.

### **3.2 Research Study**

This study includes the development of specialized software for children that have hemiparetic CP. Additionally, the effect of the developed system on this target group is analyzed experimentally. The main research questions of this study are set as follows:

**RQ1.** Does the proposed home-based therapy game system motivate patients with CP to perform exercises at home?

**RQ2.** Does the proposed home-based therapy game system support families who have children with CP for helping their children exercise at home?

**RQ3.** Does the proposed system support physiotherapists for following up children's home exercises who are having CP treatment?



**RQ4.** Does the proposed system affect progress of the patients with CP positively during the therapy treatment?

In order to answer these research questions, the research model of the study that is shown in Figure 3.3 was prepared. Figure 3.4 shows the relationship between data collected during the research study steps, and the research questions.



**Figure 3.3. Research Study Model**

| RESEARCH QUESTION  | COLLECTED DATA   |
|--|--|
| Does the proposed home-based therapy game system motivate patients with CP to perform exercises at home?                               | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Interviews with Patients</li> <li>✓ Interviews with Parents</li> <li>✓ Game Reports</li> </ul>  |
| Does the proposed home-based therapy game system support families that have children with CP for helping their child exercise at home? | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Interviews with Parents</li> </ul>  |
| Does the proposed system support physiotherapists for following children's home exercises who are getting CP treatment?                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Interview with the Physiotherapist</li> </ul>   |
| Does the proposed system affect progress of the patients with CP positively during the therapy treatment?                              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Interviews with Patients</li> <li>✓ Interviews with Parents</li> <li>✓ Interview with the Physiotherapist</li> <li>✓ QUEST Results</li> </ul> |

**Figure 3.4. Relationship between Research Questions and Collected Data**

By the suggestion of the domain experts, the QUEST Test was applied to the patients at the beginning of the study as pre-test and repeated at the end of the study as post-test to evaluate the changes on their upper extremity skills. After developing the system as described in Chapter 4, a setup session was conducted at the hospital with the patients who participated in this study. One of the aims of this session was to introduce AHSEN-CP to the participants. Since each patient should play the game with different customizations, the setup session was also conducted for physiotherapist to determine game customizations such as its speed and duration for each patient according to their specific requirements. After this setup session, the patients were suggested to play the game once a day for 4 weeks.

Since rearranging the therapy program according to the patient's performance is essential [1], after each game play session, a report which shows patient's performance during the game play is sent to the physiotherapist by AHSEN-CP. These reports are saved and evaluated by the physiotherapist to determine new game customizations if there is a remarkable change in the patient's performance. Since with our system it is not possible to make any precise tracking of patients' movements in specific areas, rather than showing indication of the improvement on patient's motor skills, the game reports can show a general improvement on patient's

performance. Hence, these reports are not used for evaluating the patients' improvement mathematically.

Following a 4 week-period of game play, 3 different semi structured interviews were conducted with patients (Appendix A), parents (Appendix C) and physiotherapists (Appendix E) to evaluate their satisfaction levels with AHSEN-CP. Also observations of patients, parents and physiotherapists were asked in the interviews since the observation is an important assessment parameter in physiotherapy [9]. Each interview took 5-15 minutes depending on the answers. The interviews were recorded and transcribed for further analyses.

### **Patients**

The required information about the participants is given in Table 3.1. In the next sections, the participants are named as Patient 1 and Patient 2 depending on this table. The study started with three patients but one of the patients did not continue participating in the study since he was not available anymore because of some personal reasons. Yet, his mother told that she was very pleased by AHSEN-CP since it provided an enjoyable environment for doing exercises.

**Table 3.1 Participants of the Study**

| <b>Participant No</b> | <b>Sex</b> | <b>Age</b> | <b>Hemiparetic Arm</b> | <b>GMFCS</b> | <b>MACS</b> |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| 1                     | Female     | 10         | Left                   | 1            | 1           |
| 2                     | Male       | 7          | Right                  | 1            | 2           |

In Table 3.1, GMFCS stands for Gross Motor Function Classification System and MACS stands for Manual Ability Classification System. Both of these are used for defining the severity of impairments. The higher the number is the more the patients are affected [17]. Depending on the physiotherapist's observation and the patients' GMFCS and MACS values, in the setup session, the physiotherapist decided that Patient 1 was to play AHSEN-CP games for 10 minutes and Patient 2 was to play AHSEN-CP games for 15 minutes in each day.

Although the patients suggested to play AHSEN-CP games once a day, Patient 1 played the games for eleven times, and Patient 2 played it for eight times according to the reports sent to the physiotherapist. This data is known to be incorrect since Patient 2 indicated that sometimes she has problems with the internet connection and she could not send the report although she played the games in most of the days.

## **CHAPTER 4**

### **SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT**

#### **4.1 Requirements Collection Phase**

To determine the requirements, two meetings were held with a group which consisted of four physiotherapy experts and three experts studying on computer sciences. In these meetings, they expressed that the system should be suitable for home use to support the physiotherapy processes carried out in hospitals. Since the games will be afforded by the parents and in Turkey there are too many families who live in poverty and having children with CP, the group agreed on a basic and consequently inexpensive system, which can only be used for performing upper extremity exercises. The physiotherapy experts remarked that the games should be tailored depending on the patients. As a result of these meetings, a basic system was offered for children with hemiparesis to do upper extremity exercises easily at home.


#### **4.2 Game Design and Approval of Domain Experts**

Based on the requirements specified by the domain experts, a pilot version of the system was developed and it was evaluated by a patient, his mother and the domain experts. When the patient's opinions about the game were asked, he told that he had fun while playing the games. His mother told that her son showed interest in the games. They did not have any advice about the game. After seeing the pilot version, the physiotherapy experts advised adding enthusing voices like "Wonderful!" or "You are doing very well." Since the main goal of the exercises in the game should be making patients raise their arms at as high positions as possible, they declared that there should be a line where the objects will disappear when it was reached, and it should be placed in the games according to the patient's height. Based on the domain experts' advices, the level designs of the games were elaborated. A photograph taken while the pilot version of the games were being played is given in Figure 4.1.




**Figure 4.1 Pilot Study of AHSEN-CP**


System overview is shown in Figure 4.2. Activity diagram of AHSEN-CP created using “Visual Paradigm for UML Community Edition” is shown in Figure 4.3 [11]. Use case diagram of AHSEN-CP is shown in Figure 4.4.



**Figure 4.2 Overview of the Proposed System**



**Figure 4.3 Activity Diagram of AHSEN-CP**



**Figure 4.4 Use Case Diagram of AHSEN-CP**

### 4.3 Game Development

#### Technical Features of the Game

The game was programmed by Actionsript 3 by using Adobe Flash Professional CS6. An online tutorial [5] was modified to develop the game according to the requirements which are described in section 4.1. Since our aim was to evaluate the feasibility of the systems that even families living in poverty can attain we used a basic system in our study. The game requires only a PC and a web cam if the PC doesn't have an internal camera. Though the game can be played without an internet connection, the connection is required to send the report to the physiotherapist at the end of the game.

The game can be played by running an executable file without any installation. Adobe Flash Player must be installed on PC to play the game. It is required to allow web cam connection at the beginning of the game.

Since no sensors or 3D cameras are used in our system, it cannot recognize and track specific parts of the body. The patient's arm cannot be recognized automatically by the game, so the game was implemented the way that it forces the patient to use her/his arm to reach objects in the game. By this way patients can do upper extremity exercises, on the other hand the game cannot be used for doing hand exercises. Since the game is not able to control which arm is used, the patient enters which arm he/she will be using during the game into the menu at the beginning of the game. Hence it is assumed that the patient uses only the required arm during the game.

### **Game Interface**

The CP treatment changes depending on various criteria like affected parts, socioeconomic factors and impairment levels [9]. Also, the physiotherapist should frequently follow-up the patient and change the treatment when needed [1]. Since home-based computer games are played without participation of physiotherapist, in the game, there should be a system by which the physiotherapist can follow up the patient's performance and provide feedback to family so that the patient can adjust the game depending on the physiotherapist's suggestion. Based on this idea, a menu which provides adjusting the game at the beginning of each game play based on physiotherapist's suggestions and a reporting system which provides physiotherapists to follow up the patient were added to the game.

The menu that is shown in Figure 4.5 includes text fields for entering the values of game speed, object (balloons, balls, and apples) size and time period which physiotherapists determine. It also includes text fields to enter patient's name, patient's height and hemiparetic arm information ("right" or "left"). Personal information is used in the report that is created at the end of the game. Patient's height is also used for calculating the level of the red line at which the falling objects will disappear when they reach the line. Such a line is needed in the game to improve patient's reaching movement by preventing the patient from reaching the objects at



low levels and constraining them to reach the objects when they are only in higher levels before they disappear. A screenshot of the line can be seen in Figure 4.6.

|                  |                                       |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| HIZ:             | <input type="text"/>                  |
| BOYUT:           | <input type="text"/>                  |
| SÜRE (dakika):   | <input type="text"/>                  |
| HASTA ADI:       | <input type="text"/>                  |
| HASTA BOYU (cm): | <input type="text"/>                  |
| KOL (sag-sol):   | <input type="text"/>                  |
| GÖNDER           | <input type="button" value="GÖNDER"/> |

Figure 4.5 Form in AHSEN-CP




Figure 4.6 The Red Line

## Game Levels

The game consists of four levels. Since repetition is an important aspect in CP therapy [1] [7], one of the main aims of the game is to make the patient play the game during the time period physiotherapist suggests. So the game levels don't end when player reaches a specific score or achieves a specific goal. Instead, each of four levels runs during the quarter of entered time period so the patient plays the game during the time period physiotherapist suggests. Score is shown at game screen only to motivate the player to play the game more actively. Since the game should be played once with the right arm, once with the left arm in each home therapy session, difference between the score reached with the hemiparetic arm and the other arm may cause the child to be more willing to get high scores with hemiparetic arm.

In the first level, there are bombs and different colored balloons falling down. Score increases by 1 when each balloon is popped and decreases by 3 when each bomb is popped. Figure 4.7 shows a screenshot from level 1.



*PUAN: 5*


**Figure 4.7 First Level of AHSEN-CP Games**

In the second level, there is a basketball and a basket. Score increases by 1 when the basketball is thrown into the basket and decreases by 3 when the basketball falls onto the red line. A screenshot from the second level is shown in Figure 4.8.




**Figure 4.8 Second Level of AHSEN-CP Games**

In the third level which is shown in Figure 4.9, the score increases by 1 when each apple is picked. There is no score decrease in that level.



**Figure 4.9 Third Level of AHSEN-CP Games**

In the last level there is a ball and a stick. When the ball hits the stick, it bounces, so it is prevented from falling down to the ground. When the arm is moved, the stick follows it. So the player should align her/his hand to the position to which the ball will fall. She/he needs to hold her/his hand at a higher position than the level of the red line in order to be recognized by the camera. The score increases by one at each bounce on the stick, and decreases by 3 when the ball falls down to the ground. A screenshot from the fourth level is shown in Figure 4.10.



*PUAN: 38*

**Figure 4.10 Fourth Level of AHSEN-CP Games**

At the end of each level, a voice is heard which congratulates the player by saying one of “Wonderful!”, “You’re doing very well.” or “Congratulations!” expressions that is chosen randomly. To add realism to the game, sounds like bouncing and popping effects were added.

When all the levels are completed, the game opens the PC’s default mail program to send the report that is created to the physiotherapist. The e-mail’s subject and text fields are automatically composed by the game using the values that are entered by the player at the beginning of the game. A sample report is shown in Figure 4.11.

Patient's name: [REDACTED]  
Patient's height (cm): 134  
Date of playing: 20.1.2014, Time: 19:57  
Game duration: 10 minutes  
Arm used: Right

Game speed (1:Easy, 2:Medium, 3:Hard): 2  
Ball, Apple, Balloon size (1:Hard, 2:Medium, 3:Easy): 2  
Patient's score: 430

1. Level (Popping the falling balloons)  
Number of balloons that could be popped: 150  
Number of balloons that couldn't be popped: 9  
Number of bombs that were detonated: 13

2. Level (Throwing basketball to basket)  
Basketball was thrown to basket 13 times.  
Falling the ball: 26 times

3. Level (Picking apples)  
Number of Apples that were picked: 430

4. Level (Bouncing the ball on stick )  
Number of bouncing: 23  
Number of falling the ball: 23

**Figure 4.11 Sample Report**

## CHAPTER 5

### RESEARCH STUDY RESULTS

In this chapter, the results of pre and post QUEST tests applied on patients and semi structured interviews that were held with patients, parents and the physiotherapist are analyzed to better answer the research questions in this study. Since all participants were interviewed separately, answers given by a participant do not affect the answers given by the other. Table 5.1 shows the list of appendices containing the interviews conducted with patients, parents and the physiotherapist. In the table, “Parent 1” represents Patient 1’s father and “Parent 2” represents Patient 2’s mother. Responsible physiotherapist is the same for Patient 1 and Patient 2 and she is represented as “Physiotherapist”.

**Table 5.1 List of the Interviews**

|                 |            |
|-----------------|------------|
| Patient 1       | Appendix G |
| Parent 1        | Appendix H |
| Patient 2       | Appendix I |
| Parent 2        | Appendix J |
| Physiotherapist | Appendix K |

The sessions of this chapter are organized according to the research questions in the study.

#### **5.1 Does the proposed home-based therapy game system motivate patients with CP to perform exercises at home?**

Two patients and two parents who have contributed to our study were interviewed to determine the patients’ level of interest and motivation to play AHSEN-CP compared to their level of interest and motivation to do routine home exercises. The interviews are interpreted as follows:

### Data Collected from Patient Interviews

Although both of the patients find it difficult to play AHSEN-CP, they both stated that they had fun while playing it. For instance, Patient 2 indicates in the interview that he didn't get bored of AHSEN-CP after 4 weeks of playing and he is still having fun. Although Patient 1 has less fun in comparison of the beginning sessions, she indicates that it is still fun to play the games. Both of the patients say that they will continue playing the game. Patient 1 states that "Balloon Popping" game was the most fun game since it contains unexpected bombs which the players should avoid. She also likes "Apple Picking" game since it is easy to increase the score while playing. She states that she less likes "Shooting Basket" and "Bouncing the Ball" games since they were hard to play. Yet, when she was asked how she would rate the game based on one to ten in terms of fun level, she answers that she would give 10 points since some of the games were so much fun. Figure 5.1 shows the screenshot which was taken while patient 1 was playing "Shooting Basket" game.



**Figure 5.1 Patient 1 While Playing "Shooting the Basket" Game**

Figure 5.2 shows the screenshot which was taken in Hacettepe University, Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation Department while Patient 1 was playing "Bouncing the Ball" game at the end of the 4 week-period.





**Figure 5.2 Patient 1 While Playing “Bouncing the Ball” Game**

It can be inferred from Figure 5.1 and Figure 5.2 that, Patient 1 still has fun after playing AHSEN-CP games for 4 weeks and she has fun even when she plays the two games that she likes less.

When Patient 1 was asked whether routine home exercises or AHSEN-CP games are more fun, she says “I like game-like exercises more. There is a home exercise which is performed using soft modeling clay, it is fun. The computer game (AHSEN-CP games) is fun, too.” This answer supports the study of Bryanton et al., 2006 which claims that virtual reality games are more fun than routine exercises.

#### **Data Collected from Parent Interviews**

In Parent 1’s opinion, Patient 1 didn’t get bored of the games after a while. Parent 2 thinks that Patient 2 shows less interest in the game compared to the first few weeks. Since Patient 2 became disinterested after he got sick, Parent 2 thinks that this might be because of Patient 2’s illness, which continued for a week.

When Patient 1 was asked about whether she had more fun while doing routine exercises suggested by her physiotherapist or while playing AHSEN-CP, she stated that she liked doing game-like exercises, hence she both had fun while doing game-like routine home exercises and playing AHSEN-CP. Patient 2 said that it was more fun playing AHSEN-CP than doing routine home exercises. They also indicated that they would suggest AHSEN-CP to other children. When the parents were asked about whether AHSEN-CP helps them making their children do home exercises, they both said that it helps since their children play AHSEN-CP willingly in contrast to doing routine home exercises. Parent 2 states that Patient 2 was crying very much while doing home exercises before AHSEN-CP but now he performs exercises without having any difficulty.

### **Data Collected from System Reports**

The reports sent to the physiotherapist after each game play during 4 weeks show that, Patient 1 played AHSEN-CP regularly and patient 2 played it regularly for 3 weeks and during last week he didn't play regularly. Although after a few weeks Patient 2 got sick and didn't want to play the game according to Parent 2's interview, in normal conditions he played it regularly. Thus, Patient 2 stated that he never got bored of the game. The physiotherapist of the patients says that AHSEN-CP helps her make the patients do home exercises.

In essence, depending on the interviews and the system reports, the patients are satisfied with the AHSEN-CP, they prefer playing with it rather than performing non-game-like home exercises and this system motivates them to perform exercises at home.

### **5.2 Does the proposed home-based therapy game system support families who have children with CP for helping their child exercise at home?**

The results according to the interviews conducted with Patient 1's father (Parent 1) and Patient 2's mother (Parent 2) to obtain their opinion whether the games support the physiotherapy for easing their children's use of upper extremities, can be summarized as follows:

### **Data Collected from Patient Interviews**

When the parents were asked about whether AHSEN-CP helps them making their children do home exercises, they both said that they are satisfied with AHSEN-CP and it helps them since their children play AHSEN-CP willingly in contrast to doing routine home exercises. Parent 2 states that Patient 2 was crying very much while doing home exercises before AHSEN-CP by saying “He used to perform arm exercises like latching and he used to cry a lot while exercising. But now he is doing the exercises easily like playing an ordinary game.”

When the parents were asked about whether they would suggest the game to other families to make their children do the exercises using AHSEN-CP, they said that they would because thanks to AHSEN-CP, their children do home exercises willingly. Parent 2 says that she has given AHSEN-CP game CD to three friends of her each of whom has a child with CP for their children to play at home.

As a result of the interviews conducted with the parents, one can say that it supports families for helping their child perform home exercises.

### **5.3 Does the proposed system support physiotherapists for following up children’s home exercises who are having CP treatment?**

#### **Data Collected from Physiotherapist Interviews**

The physiotherapist of the patients says in the interview that AHSEN-CP helps her make her patients do home exercises and to follow them to see whether they are doing exercises regularly or not. She states that she would suggest AHSEN-CP system to other physiotherapists.

When she was asked about whether AHSEN-CP was appropriate also for hospital use, she stated that if a suitable room and required tools were provided, it could be played by the patients during some parts of the sessions.

**5.4 Does the proposed system affect progress of the patients with CP positively during the therapy treatment?**

Patients, parents and the physiotherapist were interviewed to receive their opinion about whether AHSEN-CP is an effective system to support the physiotherapy in the sense of improving the quality of patients' upper extremity skills. At the same time, QUEST was applied on the patients twice to compare upper extremity skills just before and after using AHSEN-CP. QUEST pre-test and post-test values of Patient 1 are given in Table 5.2 and the values of Patient 2 are given in Table 5.3. QUEST parameters are explained in section 3.2.4.

**Table 5.2 QUEST Pre-test and Post-Test Values of Patient 1**

|                  | <b>DISSOCIATED MOVEMENTS</b> | <b>GRASPS</b> | <b>WEIGHT BEARING</b> | <b>PROTECTIVE EXTENSION</b> | <b>TOTAL SCORE</b> |
|------------------|------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| <b>PRE-TEST</b>  | 92.18                        | 77.77         | 72                    | 75                          | 79.23              |
| <b>POST-TEST</b> | 95.31                        | 70.37         | 80                    | 75                          | 80.17              |

**Table 5.3 QUEST Pre-test and Post-Test Values of Patient 1**

|                  | <b>DISSOCIATED MOVEMENTS</b> | <b>GRASPS</b> | <b>WEIGHT BEARING</b> | <b>PROTECTIVE EXTENSION</b> | <b>TOTAL SCORE</b> |
|------------------|------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| <b>PRE-TEST</b>  | 65.62                        | 55.55         | 68                    | 66.66                       | 63.95              |
| <b>POST-TEST</b> | 90.62                        | 81.48         | 60                    | 63.88                       | 73.99              |

With respect to the physiotherapist's observation, the proximal zone of Patient 1 was much weaker before playing AHSEN-CP games. Parent 1 does not have any observation about Patient 1's upper extremity skills. Patient 1 says that she uses her upper extremities more easily comparing to the first time she used AHSEN-CP. QUEST result of Patient 1 is interpreted positively by the physiotherapist as: "As a result of Patient 1's computer-aided therapy with AHSEN-CP, while the value of grasps improved, the value of disassociated movements decreased. These consequences may help the patients for their grasps to become more selective and qualified with strengthening of proximal zone muscles. No change in weight-bearing may be the result of Patient 1's creating compensation by providing power strength

distribution to non-affected side to use her hemiparetic arm while playing AHSEN-CP games.”


While the physiotherapist did not have any observation of Patient 2’s progress of upper extremity skills, according to Parent 2’s observation, after playing AHSEN-CP, Patient 2 can stretch his arm more. Parent 2 stated that she takes Patient 2 to a private rehabilitation center in addition to taking to Hacettepe University, Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation Department, and one of the physiotherapists there observed the improvement of Patient 2’s upper extremity skills and liked the game so much that he told that he would make his patients play AHSEN-CP in the center. Besides, Patient 2 stated that he uses his upper extremities more easily comparing to the first time he used AHSEN-CP. QUEST results of Patient 1 are interpreted positively by the physiotherapist as: “In consequence of the computer-aided therapy of Patient 2’s upper extremities, while weight bearing of his hemiparetic side improves, disassociated movements of his affected arm diminish. These evidences may show that as a result of computer-aided therapy, the proximal stabilization and postural control is improved.”

Concerned with the adequateness of AHSEN-CP games regarding to support the physiotherapy, the physiotherapist says “It is adequate especially for strengthening shoulder and surrounding area muscles. There must be more tailored and different games for skills like the ability of holding a pin with fingers. But the game is extremely accomplished especially for proximal zone stabilization which means the strengthening of the shoulder zone.” On the other hand, she stated that although the consequences of this study is promising for showing that the virtual reality games can be effective on the treatment of upper extremity proximal stabilization of children with CP, there is a need for a long-term randomized controlled study with the participation of more individuals to obtain statistically significant results.

## CHAPTER 6

### CONCLUSION & DISCUSSIONS

To evaluate the feasibility of the systems that even families living in poverty can attain, AHSEN-CP was developed and the interviews were conducted with patients, parents and the physiotherapist. As outlined in Chapter 3, this study was conducted based on the action research methodology. Figure 6.1 summarizes the system development steps of the study.



**Figure 6.1. System Development Steps**

The results of the QUEST applied to the patients before and after the 4 weeks of game play and the physiotherapist's observations illustrate that AHSEN-CP may

promise to be useful to stabilize the proximal zone of the patients. The interviews also show that the patients are more motivated to exercise with AHSEN-CP comparing to routine exercises and the parents are very pleased with the game since their children exercises at home easily.

Outcomes of this study are listed as follows:

1) The research study was started with three CP patients and one of them quit participating in the study because of personal reasons. The number of patients in this study is inadequate to get statistically significant results as it was hard to find children with hemiparesis whose parents let them participate in the study. The duration of the study is inadequate as well.

2) Patient 2's PC broke down after a while during the study. We provided him a PC until the study ended. Since Patient 1 has an old PC, sometimes she had problems with sending system reports to the physiotherapist. This situation shows that, home-based virtual rehabilitation systems may not be effective for physiotherapists to follow the patient via internet.

3) If the games are not played properly, for example if the patient stays too close to the web cam, or one of the other family members play the games instead of/with the patient before sending the report to the physiotherapist, the reports may mislead the physiotherapist about patient's performance. As an example, Patient 1 told off the record that, because of the lagging problem that occurs occasionally in her PC, the objects moved slowly and they could be easily reached so she got very high scores in some of the game plays. If some external devices that measure player's movements were used, more reliable reports could have been sent to the physiotherapist since the performance would be measured according to the patient's movements, rather than the score. Hence, we can say that in today's technology, with a very basic and inexpensive system without any sensors like AHSEN-CP, it is difficult to obtain reliable outcome measures without direct observation of a physiotherapist.

4) This study reveals that exercising with virtual reality games are more entertaining than routine exercises, as it was found in the study of Bryanton et al., 2006 [6].

5) From Patient 1's interview one can understand that while a little difficulty like avoiding bombs improves the level of fun she experiences in the games, too much difficulty makes her to have less fun. This result supports Self-Determination Theory by Deci & Ryan, 1985 which claims that optimal challenge in tasks facilitates motivation [28].

6) Although it is found in this study that supporting the physiotherapists to follow patients remotely is not feasible, based on the QUEST results of the patients and the interviews, the study is promising for showing that even very basic and inexpensive virtual reality systems can be effective for supporting upper extremity treatment for children with CP in terms of proximal stabilization, motivating children and helping families.

### **Future Work**

Since routine therapy program in hospital continued during the study, it is not precisely known whether the improvement observed on the proximal stabilization of the patients that QUEST results show is achieved because of AHSEN-CP or routine therapy. To answer this, a study can be conducted in future.

In future work, the system can be improved to solve the temporary internet connection problem while sending reports to physiotherapist. The reports may automatically or manually be saved to patients' PC and the game may try to send the reports at the next sessions of game play.

The number of AHSEN-PC game levels may be increased, and the games may be improved to be more exciting and suitable for teenagers and adults groups as well.

AHSEN-CP cannot be used for doing hand or lower extremity exercises. As the technology advances, inexpensive home-based systems which include these exercises can be developed.



There is a need for a long-term randomized controlled study with participation of more patients to get statistically significant results about the feasibility of inexpensive systems like AHSEN-CP.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Livanelioğlu, A., Günel, M. K., “Serebral Palside Fizyoterapi”, *Ankara: Yeni Özbek Matbaası*, ISBN: 978-605-89077-0-6, 2009.
- [2] Burdea, G. C., Coiffet, P., “Virtual Reality Technology”, Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, ISBN: 0-471-36089-9, 2003
- [3] Levac, D., Missiuna C., “An Update on the Use of Virtual Reality Technology to Improve Movement in Children with Physical Impairments.” Internet: <http://www.canchild.ca/en/canchildresources/VirtualRealityTechnology.asp>, 2009 [Mar.29, 2014].
- [4] Santos K. P. B, Ferreira V. S., “Contributions for Physical Therapy from the Children’s Point of View.”, *Revista Brasileira De Educacao Especial* vol. 19, issue 2, pp. 211-224, ISSN: 1413-6538, 2013
- [5] Toth M., “Camera Motion Controlled Ball.” Internet: <http://www.actionscript.org/resources/articles/613/1/Camera-motion-controlled-ball/Page1.html>, [Apr. 13, 2014]
- [6] Bryanton C., Bossé J., Brien M., Mclean J., McCormick A., Sveistrup H., “Feasibility, Motivation, and Selective Motor Control: Virtual Reality Compared to Conventional Home Exercise in Children with Cerebral Palsy.”, *Cyber Psychology & Behavior and Social Networking* vol. 9, issue 2, pp. 123-128, ISSN: 2152-2715, 2006
- [7] “Virtual environment training: A New Tool for Neurorehabilitation”, *Neurology Report*, vol. 26 issue 2, pp. 62–71, 2002
- [8] Huber M., Rabin B., Docan C, Burdea G. C., AbdelBaky M., Golomb M. R., “Feasibility of Modified Remotely Monitored In-Home Gaming Technology for Improving Hand Function in Adolescents with Cerebral Palsy.”, *IEEE Trans Inf Technol Biomed*, vol. 14 issue 2, ISSN: 1089-7771, pp: 526-534, 2010
- [9] Günel K. M., “Rehabilitation of Children with Cerebral Palsy From a Physiotherapist’s Perspective”, *Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc* vol. 43, issue 2, pp. 173- 180, 2009. DOI:10.3944/AOTT.2009.173.
- [10] Rizzo A., Kim G. J., “A SWOT Analysis of the Field of Virtual Reality Rehabilitation and Therapy”, *Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments - Special issue: Virtual rehabilitation*, vol. 14 issue 2, DOI:

10.1162/1054746053967094, pp. 119-146, 2005

[11] Internet:<http://www.visual-paradigm.com/download/vpuml.jsp>, [Mar. 29, 2014]

[12] Internet:

[http://www.gesturetekhealth.com/img/galleries/full/IREX\\_birdsNballs\\_01.jpg](http://www.gesturetekhealth.com/img/galleries/full/IREX_birdsNballs_01.jpg), [Mar. 29, 2014]

[13] Shaughnessy, M., Resnick, B., Macko, R., “Testing a Model of Post-stroke Exercise Behavior.” *Rehabilitation Nursing*, vol. 31 issue 1, pp. 15–21, ISSN: 0278-4807, 2006

[14] Charles J. R., Gordon A. M. A., “Repeated Course of Constraint Induced Movement Therapy Results in Further Improvement.”, *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, vol. 49 issue 10, pp. 770-773, ISSN: 0012-1622, 2007

[15] Harris K., Reid D., “The Influence of Virtual Reality Play on Children's Motivation.”, *Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, vol. 72 issue 1, pp. 21-29, DOI:10.1177/000841740507200107, 2005

[16] Buddhadev N. P., Arya B., “Effectiveness of Play Therapy over Conventional Physiotherapy in Spastic Diplegic Cerebral Palsy Children.”, *National Journal of Integrated Research in Medicine*, vol. 3 issue 2, 2012

[17] “Association between gross motor function (GMFCS) and manual ability (MACS) in children with cerebral palsy. A population-based study of 359 children.” *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, vol. 8 issue 50, DOI: 10.1186/1471-2474-8-50, 2007

[18] Warburton D. E. R., Bredin S. S. D., Horita L. T. L., Zbogar D., Scott J. M., Esch B. T. A., and Rhodes R. E., “The health benefits of interactive video game exercise.”, *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism*, vol. 32 issue 4, DOI: 10.1139/H07-038, pp. 655-663, 2007

[19] Weightman A., Preston N., Levesley M., Holt R., Mon-Williams M., Clarke M., Cozens A. J., Bhakta B., “Home-based Computer-assisted Upper Limb Exercise For Young Children with Cerebral Palsy: A Feasibility Study Investigating Impact on Motor Control and Functional Outcome”, *Journal Of Rehabilitation Medicine*, vol. 43, ISSN: 1650-1977, pp. 359-363, 2011

[20] Brown S. H., Lewis C.A., McCarthy J. M., Doyle S. T., Hurvitz E. A., “The Effects of Internet-Based Home Training on Upper Limb Function in Adults with Cerebral Palsy”, *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair*, vol. 24 issue 6, ISSN: 1545-9683, pp. 575-583, 2010

- [21] Parsons R., Brown K., “Teacher as Reflective Practitioner and Action Researcher”, ISBN: 9780534557119, 2002.
- [22] Fujimoto T., Beppu F., “Games for Health in Japan”, Games for Health Conference 2006, 29 August 2006.
- [23] Qiu Q., Ramirez D. A., Saleh S., Fluet G. G., Parikh H. D., Kelly D., Adamovich S. V., “The New Jersey Institute of Technology Robot-Assisted Virtual Rehabilitation (NJIT-RAVR) system for children with cerebral palsy: a feasibility study”, *Journal of Neuro Engineering and Rehabilitation*, vol. 6 issue 1, ISSN: 1743-0003, 2009
- [24] Feintuch U., Tuchner M., Lorber Haddad A., Meiner Z., Shimon S., “VirHab – A Virtual Reality System for Treatment of Chronic Pain and Disability”, Virtual Rehabilitation International Conference 2009, 29 June 2009- 2 July 2009.
- [25] Housman S. J., Le V., Rahman T., Sanchez R., Reinkensmeyer D. J., “Arm-Training with T-WREX After Chronic Stroke: Preliminary Results of a Randomized Controlled Trial”, 2007 IEEE 10th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics, 12-15 June 2007.
- [26] Shing C. Y., Fung C. P., Chuang T. Y., Penn I. W., Doong J. L., “The Study of Auditory and Haptic Signals in a Virtual Reality-Based Hand Rehabilitation System”, *Robotica*, vol. 21 issue 2, DOI: 10.1017/S0263574702004708, pp. 211-218, 2003.
- [27] Sugarman H., Weisel-Eichler A., Burstin A., Brown R., “Use of Novel Virtual Reality System for the Assessment and Treatment of Unilateral Spatial Neglect: A Feasibility Study”, ISBN: 978-1-61284-475-6, ICVR 2011: 9th International Conference on Virtual Rehabilitation, 27-29 June 2011
- [28] Deci E. L., Ryan R. M., “Intrinsic Motivation and Self-Determination in Human Behavior “, ISBN: 978-1-4899-2271-7, 1985

## **APPENDIX A: SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEW QUESTIONS FOR PATIENTS**

Patient Name:

1. What do you think about the game? Was it easy to play? [8]
2. Did you have muscle pain and discomfort? [8]
3. Was it fun to play?
4. Do you prefer to play the game or to do the exercises your physiotherapist suggests you as before? Which one is more fun?
5. Did you get bored of the game after a while?
6. (If he/she says “yes” to the 6<sup>th</sup> question) When did you start to get bored of the game?
7. (If he/she says “yes” to the 6<sup>th</sup> question) Why did you get bored? What were the deficiencies and the problems in the game?
8. Did you start to use your shoulders and arms more easily after starting to play the game, or wasn't there any improvement?
9. Will you continue playing the game?
10. Would you encourage other children to play the game for doing exercises? [8]

**APPENDIX B: SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEW QUESTIONS FOR  
PATIENTS IN NATIVE LANGUAGE (TURKISH)**

Hasta adı:

1. Oyun hakkında ne düşünüyorsun, sence oynamak kolay mıydı? [8]
2. Oyunu oynarken ağrın oldu mu, rahatsızlık hissettin mi? [8]
3. Oyun eğlenceli miydi?
4. Evde egzersiz yapmak için oyunu oynamayı mı, yoksa daha önce olduğu gibi doktorun sana gösterdiği hareketleri mi yapmayı tercih edersin? Hangisi daha eğlenceli?
5. Bir zamandan sonra oyundan sıkıldın mı?
6. (5. Soruya “evet.” derse) Ne zaman sıkılmaya başladın?
7. (5. Soruya “evet.” derse) Neden sıkıldın? Sence oyundaki eksiklikler ve problemler nelerdi?
8. Oyunu oynamaya başladıktan sonra omuzlarını ve kollarını daha rahat kullanmaya başladın mı, yoksa herhangi bir gelişme olmadı mı?
9. Oyunu oynamaya bundan sonra da devam edecek misin?
10. Oyunu diğer çocuklara da egzersiz yapmaları için önerir misin? [8]

**APPENDIX C: SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEW QUESTIONS FOR  
PARENTS**

Patient Name:

Parent Name:

1. Do you think your child was more willing to do the exercises the physiotherapist suggested or playing the game?
2. Did the game help you to make your children exercise at home?
3. How willing was your child to play the game?
4. Did your child start to use her/his shoulders and arms more easily after starting to play the game, or wasn't there any improvement?
5. Did your child get bored of the game after a while?
6. (If he/she says "yes" to the 5<sup>th</sup> question) When did he/she start to get bored of the game? (If he/she says "yes": When did he/she get bored?)
7. Would you encourage other families to make their child with cerebral palsy play the game at home?

**APPENDIX D: SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEW QUESTIONS FOR  
PARENTS IN NATIVE LANGUAGE (TURKISH)**

1. Sizce çocuđunuz evde doktorun gösterdiđi hareketleri yaparken mi daha istekliydi, oyunu oynarken mi?
2. Oyun, çocuđunuza evde egzersiz yaptırmak konusunda işinizi kolaylařtırdı mı?
3. Çocuđunuz oyunu oynamak için ne kadar istekliydi?
4. Çocuđunuz oyunu oynamaya başladıktan sonra omuzlarını ve kollarını daha rahat kullanmaya başladı mı, yoksa herhangi bir gelişme olmadı mı?
5. Çocuđunuz bir müddet sonra oyundan sıkıldı mı? (Evet derse, “Ne zaman sıkılmaya başladı?”)
6. Diđer ailelere serebral palsili çocuklarına evde egzersiz yaptırmak için bu oyunu kullanmalarını önerir misiniz?



**APPENDIX E: SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEW QUESTIONS FOR  
THERAPISTS**

Patient Name:

Therapist Name:

1. Did the game help you to make your patient exercise at home?
2. Did the game help you to control your patient about whether he/she exercised at home?
3. Is the game appropriate for using in hospitals?
4. Do you think the game is adequate for providing improvement in upper extremity skills?
5. Did your patient start to use her/his shoulders and arms more easily after starting to play the game, or wasn't there any improvement?
6. Would you encourage other physiotherapists to make their patients with cerebral palsy play the game at home?

**APPENDIX F: SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEW QUESTIONS FOR  
THERAPISTS IN NATIVE LANGUAGE (TURKISH)**

Hasta adı:

Terapist adı:

1. Oyun, hastaya evde egzersiz yaptırmanız konusunda size yardımcı oldu mu?
2. Oyun, hastanın evde egzersiz yapıp yapmadığını control etmeniz açısından size yardımcı oldu mu?
3. Sizce oyun hastanede kullanım için de uygun mu?
4. Sizce oyun üst ekstremitede gelişim sağlamak için gereken yeterlikte mi?
5. Hasta oyunu oynamay abışladıktan sonra omuzlarını ve kollarını daha rahat kullanmaya başladı mı, yoksa herhangi bir gelişme olmadı mı?
6. Diğer fizyoterapistlere hastalarına evde egzersiz yaptırmak için bu oyunu kullanmalarını önerir misiniz?

**APPENDIX G: SEMI - STRUCTURED INTERVIEW  
OF PATIENT 1**

**Interviewer:** What do you think about the game? Was it easy to play?

**Patient 1:** It was difficult.

**Interviewer:** In what aspects it was difficult?

**Patient 1:** Some of the games were difficult.

**Interviewer:** Were these difficult games fun?

**Patient 1:** Some of them were fun, some of them were not.

**Interviewer:** Did you have muscle pain and discomfort while playing?

**Patient 1:** Sometimes my arms got very tired.

**Interviewer:** Only tired? Wasn't there any pain after the game, was it?

**Patient 1:** No.

**Interviewer:** Was it fun to play?

**Patient 1:** Some of them were fun, some of them were not. I mean, some of them were more fun than the others.

**Interviewer:** How many points out of 10 do you give to the game in terms of being fun?

**Patient 1:** 10.

**Interviewer:** 10? But you said that some of them were not that fun.

**Patient 1:** But some of them were.

**Interviewer:** Do you prefer to play the game or to do the exercises your physiotherapist suggests you as before? Which one is more fun?

**Patient 1:** I like game-like exercises more. There is a home exercise which is performed using soft modeling clay, it is fun. The computer game is fun, too.

**Interviewer:** Which one is more fun?

**Patient 1:** Both of them are fun.

**Interviewer:** Did you get bored of the game after a while?

**Patient 1:** Yes but still it is fun. The computer gives an English alert which I don't understand, so sometimes I can't play the game.

**Interviewer:** I see. Your dad will bring the computer and I will fix it. You can play again if you will. You said that the game was not fun as it was before, so when did

you start to get bored? Though you said you had not get bored, right? Was it just not fun as it was before?

**Patient 1:** Yes.

**Interviewer:** Why? What else do you think the game should contain to be more fun?

**Patient 1:** I think the game in which you pop the balloons was very much fun. It would be nice if all the games were like that game.

**Interviewer:** Why was that game fun?

**Patient 1:** Because you need to avoid the bombs.

**Interviewer:** Do the bombs add fun to the game?

**Patient 1:** Yes. "Apple Picking" game was fun, too. Besides I got the most of the scores in that game.

**Interviewer:** Did you start to use your shoulders and arms more easily after starting to play the game, or wasn't there any improvement?

**Patient 1:** I don't know.

**Interviewer:** I mean were you playing the game more difficultly at the beginning, or wasn't there any difference?

**Patient 1:** At the beginning of the game, I was playing more easily since I was not tired, then as the game got harder I was getting tired and I played more difficultly.

**Interviewer:** No, I am not asking that. Are you able to raise your arms more easily than you could when you played the game for the first time?

**Patient 1:** The same. But probably I started to raise my arms more easily after a while.

**Interviewer:** Will you continue playing the game?

**Patient 1:** If my computer gets fixed, I will play.

**Interviewer:** Your dad will bring the computer and I will fix it.

**Patient 1:** When will he bring? (*Shows willingness*)

**Interviewer:** Probably Wednesday or Friday.

**Patient 1:** When will you come to the hospital?

**Interviewer:** It's not certain. I will conduct an interview with another child, I will try to make it on the same day your dad comes to the hospital. Anyway, would you encourage other children to play the game for doing exercises?

**Patient 1:** Yes.

**Interviewer:** Is there anything else you want to say about the game? Do you have any suggestions or criticisms?

**Patient 1:** The game was very good. Some of them were very much fun, some of them was less fun.

**Interviewer:** Which ones do you think were less fun?

**Patient 1:** “Shooting Basket” game was very difficult. My scores decreased since the ball was falling down to the floor persistently. The last game was difficult, too. I like “Balloon Popping” and “Apple Picking” games more.

**Interviewer:** Ok, thank you.

**Patient 1:** Are you asking these questions to other children?

**Interviewer:** Yes.

**Patient 1:** Why are you doing this?

**Interviewer:** I have a study, in that study I will write whether the game is useful and the children like it, or not.

**Patient 1:** I like the game.

**Interviewer:** Do you want to play the game now?

**Patient 1:** Yes.

**APPENDIX H: SEMI - STRUCTURED INTERVIEW  
OF PARENT 1**

**Interviewer:** Do you think your child was more willing to do the exercises the physiotherapist suggested or playing the game?

**Parent 1:** While playing the game.

**Interviewer:** Did the game help you to make your children exercise at home?

**Parent 1:** Yes. It helped a lot.

**Interviewer:** How did it help?

**Parent 1:** She plays the game while having fun.

**Interviewer:** How willing was your child to play the game?

**Parent 1:** Very much willing

**Interviewer:** Did your child start to use her/his shoulders and arms more easily after starting to play the game, or wasn't there any improvement?

**Parent 1:** I don't have any observation about that.

**Interviewer:** Did your child get bored of the game after a while?

**Parent 1:** No.

**Interviewer:** Would you encourage other families to make their child with cerebral palsy play the game at home?

**Parent 1:** Yes.

**Interviewer:** Why would you encourage them?

**Parent 1:** Since they are game-like, the children do the exercises wistfully.

**Interviewer:** Thank you.

**APPENDIX I: SEMI - STRUCTURED INTERVIEW  
OF PATIENT 2**

**Interviewer:** What do you think about the game? Was it easy to play?

**Patient 2:** It was difficult.

**Interviewer:** Did you have muscle pain and discomfort while playing?

**Patient 2:** No.

**Interviewer:** Was it fun to play?

**Patient 2:** Yes.

**Interviewer:** Do you prefer to play the game or to do the exercises your physiotherapist suggests you as before? Which one is more fun?

**Patient 2:** The game.

**Interviewer:** Did you get bored of the game after a while?

**Patient 2:** No.

**Interviewer:** It is still fun, right?

**Patient 2:** Yes.

**Interviewer:** What else do you think the game should contain to be more fun?

**Patient 2:** ... (*thinks*)

**Interviewer:** Don't you have any idea?

**Patient 2:**No.

**Interviewer:** Did you start to use your shoulders and arms more easily after starting to play the game, or wasn't there any improvement?

**Patient 2:** Yes.

**Interviewer:** Like what?

**Patient 2:** ... (*thinks*)

**Interviewer:** Are you using your arms more easily recently?

**Patient 2:** Yes.

**Interviewer:** Will you continue playing the game?

**Patient 2:** Yes.

**Interviewer:** Would you encourage other children to play the game for doing exercises?

**Patient 2:** Yes.

**Interviewer:** Do you have any other thing to say about the game?

**Patient 2:** No.

**Interviewer:** Thank you.



## **APPENDIX J: SEMI - STRUCTURED INTERVIEW OF PARENT 2**

**Interviewer:** Do you think your child was more willing to do the exercises the physiotherapist suggested or playing the game?

**Parent 2:** While playing the game.

**Interviewer:** Did the game help you to make your children exercise at home?

**Parent 2:** Yes.

**Interviewer:** How did it help?

**Parent 2:** Before that, he used to perform arm exercises like latching and he used to cry a lot while exercising. But now he is doing the exercises easily like playing an ordinary game.

**Interviewer:** How willing was your child to play the game?

**Parent 2:** Previously, when he started to play the game, he was dreadfully willing. After one or two weeks he was less willing.

**Interviewer:** Did your child start to use her/his shoulders and arms more easily after starting to play the game, or wasn't there any improvement?

**Parent 2:** In my opinion, yes.

**Interviewer:** Like what?

**Parent 2:** He wasn't able to do this movement, now he can stretch his arm more easily. I think it worked. Yet, I took the game CD because I may make him play the game later on. (After the thesis study)

**Interviewer:** Did your child get bored of the game after a while?

**Parent 2:** Because of his illness, he didn't play for about 1 week. He was sick and didn't want to play. He told that he was bored.

**Interviewer:** When did he start to get bored of the game?

**Parent 2:** He didn't play for about 1 week. I made him play the game, he cried a little. Then he slept. He is still sick.

**Interviewer:** So he didn't play the game since he got sick, right?

**Parent 2:** Yes. He got sick last week and he has been sick for 6-7 days now.

**Interviewer:** Would you encourage other families to make their child with cerebral palsy play the game at home?

**Parent 2:** I have 3 friends whose children have cerebral palsy. They visit a rehabilitation center. I gave the game CD to them and the physiotherapist thanked me and told me that he liked the game very much. He told me that he wanted to make his patients play the game in hospital, and I gave the CD to him.

**Interviewer:** Do you have anything else to say about the game? Any suggestions or criticisms?

**Parent 2:** No, I don't have any criticism. The game was very good. Before the game he used to strain his arm. He was doing the exercises reluctantly. But this computer game is very good, at least it helped. Because when I took him to the doctor, he said that his arm was better. He also said that my kid could continue playing the game.

**Interviewer:** Thank you.

**Parent 2:** Thank you, too.

**APPENDIX K: SEMI - STRUCTURED INTERVIEW  
OF PHYSIOTHERAPIST FOR PATIENT 1 AND PATIENT 2**

**Interviewer:** Did the game help you to make your patient exercise at home?

**Physiotherapist:** Yes.

**Interviewer:** Did the game help you to control your patient about whether he/she did exercises at home?

**Physiotherapist:** Yes.

**Interviewer:** Is the game appropriate for using in hospitals?

**Physiotherapist:** If a suitable room and required tools are provided, the game can be played by the patients during some parts of the sessions. Yes, it is appropriate.

**Interviewer:** Do you think the game is adequate for providing improvement in upper extremity skills?

**Physiotherapist:** It is adequate especially for strengthening shoulder and surrounding area muscles. There must be more tailored and different games for skills like ability of holding a pin with fingers. But the game is very accomplished especially for proximal zone stabilization which means strengthening shoulder zone.

**Interviewer:** Did patient 1 start to use her shoulders and arms more easily after starting to play the game, or wasn't there any improvement?

**Physiotherapist:** The proximal zone of Patient 1 was much weaker. As her family says, her duration of using proximal zone increased. When I talked to his dad, he said that her condition got better.

**Interviewer:** Did patient 2 start to use her shoulders and arms more easily after starting to play the game, or wasn't there any improvement?

**Physiotherapist:** We will be able to answer that question objectively after calculating the QUEST test result. How was his performance? (She asked the intern who applied the QUEST test to the child.)

**Intern:** I don't know whether there is an improvement since I didn't see his previous condition but today his performance was good.

**Interviewer:** Would you encourage other physiotherapists to make their patients with cerebral palsy play the game at home?

**Physiotherapist:** Yes, I would.

**Interviewer:** Thank you.